## <u>Unraveling a little of the TRUTH that shall help to set you Free:</u>

You are a natural being, born of natural parents. Your parents "gave" you a natural name, then they unwittingly "granted" by means of commercial exchange *(a legal contract),* a duplicate version of that same name to the province. This duplicate "name" was also created by your parents, thus it was their private property, to do with as they desired.

Subsequently, because they did not know of exactly what they had done, and because therefore they were unable to properly explain to you what they had done *(because much of what they had done was induced upon them by trickery)*, you unwittingly pretended to be <u>that</u> duplicate name, or pretended that you could be identified by that duplicate name, every time you allowed yourself to be identified by it, and or every time you effectively operated as it, by acting or behaving as if you were it, or could be identified by it.

Your copy of the birth certificate is not a contract, it is merely a copy of a receipt, evidencing the irrevocable gift *(grant)* of THEIR name made by your parents. They created/made that duplicate name, thus they had the right to grant it to whoever, or whatever "state" they desired. You do not qualify to hold an original receipt, because you were not a party to the original contract, nor did you make the original grant – they did.

They willingly made a legal transaction and reversing any legal transaction is subject to statute limitations – in other words, just because I have a receipt for my car, does not entitle me to go back to the dealer after 30 years and say, "I made a mistake, here is your car, give me my money back." Such a notion surely is even less realistic, if I were thinking of trying to undo a contract that I was not even a party to.

Likewise with the name. In order to even attempt to reverse that apparent mistaken transaction, your parents (and only your parents – not you) would have to assemble evidence that they have the ability to return all previously claimed benefits – benefits they arguably "accepted", thus ratifying the subject contract, but even if they could prove what those benefits were (which we doubt), and then if they could establish capacity to return them, the other side is not under any obligation to accept a return of those benefits that have been paid in good faith, nor are they obligated to return that which they have legally purchased and paid for in good faith, – the duplicate corporate name.

Alternatively, your parents would have to prove that they had been tricked, or fraudulently induced into exchanging their duplicate artificial name for the alleged state benefits. The problem with this approach is simple. The duplicate name was created by your parents at no actual or contingent cost to them. Your parents exchanged that "free" duplicate name for good and valuable consideration, which they actually received, and benefited from, perhaps one might even argue, unjustly.

Subsequently, your parents have never been obligated directly or indirectly to give, or to to provide anything further in consideration of the actual benefits they have received, and perhaps continue to receive, thus technically, "they" have not been defrauded of anything. In fact it could be argued that they received significant real value for something that actually cost them nothing.

Therefore the birth certificate that you hold does not constitute a trust, nor did the prior gift made by your parents by the registration of your birth, create one. You, by your behavior, create a *de facto* trust, in and of the name that never was, or never has been yours.

They do not orchestrate your behavior, you alone do that – voluntarily, albeit, unwittingly. Remember, you are exercising your right of self-determination. Fortunately, there is always a way to correct a mistake, but first everyone must recognize, accept, and comprehend what the mistake was, how it happened, who committed it, why it has gone unnoticed until now, who benefited, and how the mistake can be repaired, or at least prevented from recurring.

We were created to govern ourselves, and we were appointed a lineage of kings & queens that acknowledge that aspect of our creation. Apparently we have an inherent right to "self-determination". What this really means, is that whatever we determine to do, is perceived by others, as being done by our own free will. This perception also applies to those things that we mistakenly do, or that we have been tricked into doing.

Mistake number one, performed within the parameters of self-determination, was made by your parents, when they were tricked into creating a duplicate of your natural name. Yet even that trick did not directly defraud them of anything, because as we have previously said, they received significant benefits for having freely created and given up that duplicate name.

This duplicate version of your name is interesting, inasmuch as it is not directly associated with any natural or living being, and must therefore by process of elimination and simple deduction, then be limited to being an artificial creation, or at best, an actual paper creation, that exists on paper as a corporate entity only.

Here is where mistake number two originates. Because of your parents' misunderstanding of what they had done, you also misunderstood their actions. You also mistakenly believed that the duplicate name they sold to the state, was actually somehow still "your" name.

Thus by this mistake of yours, you have committed two serious offenses. First you unwittingly dishonored your parents by abandoning that natural name that they gave you at birth. Second, you unwittingly, commenced behaving "as if" you were that duplicate artificial corporate name that belongs to someone else.

You "applied" (also known as asked, begged, pleaded, requested, etc.) for a social insurance number, which effectively is asking permission to "operate" <u>their</u> registered name for commercial purposes. Then you "applied" for various forms of permissions, licenses and other identity documents, all again confirming your intent to carry out or conduct certain activity "in" <u>their</u> registered name; and agreeing to "act", "as" <u>their</u> registered name, and confirming your desire to be recognized "as", or identified "as" <u>their</u> registered name, and to accept all legal and financial obligations for and on behalf of, and as if you were, <u>their</u> registered name.

Thus by mistakenly acting as if you were that name that belongs to the state *(actually the Bar Association via the banks, but we will explain this later)*, you unwittingly forfeited by your own apparent self- determination, those gifts of your natural birthright, your inheritance, the value of your productivity, and even your natural name, to the benefit of the owner of that artificial duplicate name.

So you do not need, to "identify" with that name. For example, I am me, here I am, this is who you see, and who you see is how <u>you</u> identify me - I cannot identify me to you - you must do that either by yourself, or for yourself with the aid of others *(explained later)*.

We have all been tricked into doing everything in reverse. When you see a duck, <u>you</u> identify it as a duck, by how it appears to YOU, not by how it appears to itself. The duck, like any individual, inherently knows who or what it is, it has no need to identify itself to itself. "Identity", is truly only that inherent comprehension which is achieved in and by the mind of the party making the identification of something, or someone, outside of him or herself.

"I am who I am", and I stand before you as I am, therefore <u>you</u> are the only one that can identify me, to you. Because YOU, see me, just as you see a duck, and are therefore able to identify "it", or "me", because YOU, see it or me. Now you may be able to do this with knowledge or familiarity of me, or with the knowledge that comes from two witnesses that are able to point to me with a certain degree of familiarity, but it is only YOU, that can accept your identification of me, whether made entirely by yourself, or with the help of those witnesses. However, if you ask me to identify myself, you are mistaken in how identity works. This is why in "law", identity of a perpetrator, is accomplished by asking witnesses to "point" out the party, not to "name" the party. If I claim to be a name, then it is I that am mistaken, because I cannot be a name, I can only be me. Likewise a witness cannot be relied upon to have seen or known a "name", anymore than they could be asked to point out a name in a crowd of people.

Furthermore, neither you nor I have the power or the authority to un-grant, or to un-give, that which was given away by our parents - the name - the name we have mistakenly pretended to still have, or to still hold claim to, or to still be called, or claim to still "be". Therefore, if I am to allow myself to be identified truly as the me that I am, then I must stop allowing myself to be identified as, or by that name which is not even mine.

I must distance myself from that mistake and terminate any association with it. That artificial duplicate corporate name is very legally real, and it is a permanently fatal error, inasmuch as we are actually defrauding ourselves when we pretend we can be identified by it, or even by any reference to it. I, like you, can only be identified by another party, or by witness *(es)* that can stipulate that I, or you, are the individual man or woman that he or she is identifying.

The office of vital statistics does not "record" your name, like the priests or pastors formerly did in the local church Bibles. They unwittingly administer a "transaction", crafted by lawyers working under the guise and direction of clever bank owners, resulting in a commercial exchange, or, a grant of a name, in consideration of non-specific benefits, perhaps including the illusion of having the name officially recorded for them.

This exchange then generates what in law is referred to as the titled ownership of all equitable and legal rights, title and interest in that <u>name</u>, and transfers those rights to the province in right of her majesty, thus everything anyone, including you or I may do, or may produce in <u>that</u> name, belongs to the province, in right of her majesty.

So, the statement of live birth was only an instrument giving rise to an agreement of purchase and sale of a thing called a "name", and specified as a particular corporate name by the acknowledged spelling. The birth certificate is merely an after-issue receipt. That certified copy is held by a third party, evidencing that the original sale was consummated. That sale had absolutely nothing at all to do with any assets other than the specific artificial "name" - the sale did not include any ownership or entitlement to birthrights or inheritances, regardless of who holds the duplicate receipt (*BC*).

We have forgotten our first law. In exercising our own self-determination, we have given away our natural rights, in favor of the artificial rights associated with the artificial duplicate name, because we have chosen to pretend to be that artificial "duplicate" name. We are not even pretending to be an artificial "person", merely an artificial "name" (which pretense in itself entirely creates the person)!

Think of the ducks. The ducks are born free with their inheritance, so are we. We have also chosen with some more unwitting deliberation, to enable a government of ourselves, ostensibly by ourselves, to have authority to delegate authority over us, and to have authority over all of our natural resources.

Our government is not holding "our" names - they are holding "their" names, that formerly belonged to your parents, never to you, so never was yours. They have legal title to a legal fiction *(corporate)* name - that is not you, nor was it, or is it your name - it is very simply just another "corporation" registered in the government's name. You are still a man or a woman that may be recognized by a name called John or Mary, but that name you are recognized by, IS associated with you, inasmuch as you respond to it, and others that know you, know that you respond to it. It just happens to look and sound the same, but it is vastly different than the legal name that is owned by the government as proxy for the Bar Association via the banks.

There is one natural you, and you are entitled to your one true natural name, just like there is one natural Creator, and He declares that His natural name is Yahweh. Now someone else could elect to call themselves Yahweh, but that does not make that someone else into the One Creator. Nor does calling a "legal person" by your name, make that legal person into you. Only you can "act", or pretend to be that legal person, regardless of what "name" it is associated with, or what name you are called by.

Thus it is not a matter of who owns or claims to own the legal name, that is obvious - that party which contractually purchased it for good and valuable consideration from your parents, is in fact and in deed, the legal owner, and always will be. That does not give them as owner of that name any rights, title or interest in you the natural man or woman, or in your inheritance or birthright, or in the natural name you use, because neither you, nor your birthrights, nor inheritance, nor your natural name, were ever a part of that transaction between your parents and our government.

It *(the transaction)* simply happens to have created a convenient manner by which you were subsequently tricked by the lawyers via their puppet banksters into acting as if you were THAT legal name, instead of simply being you with your natural name, thus resulting in you unwittingly giving the owner of that

corporate name, all of your productivity, all of your inheritance and all of your birthright - at least so long as you continue to pretend to be "their" name, which pretense precludes you from actually being you.

The "person" is a creation of YOU - created BY you, when and if, and only when and if you "act" as if you are their artificial, duplicate, corporate name. Seeing that an artificial name cannot be real in the sense that such may not possess its own conscience or ability of volition *(like any corporation)*, neither can the individual that is "acting" as if he or she were "it", be completely real either, only considered to be an "actor", a.k.a., a "person" - the key is to comprehend that we must stop acting "as if" we were "their" name, because that behavior creates their "person". We terminate the person by stopping all activity in "their" name.

Doing so does not preclude us from actually being ourselves and operating in our "own" name, even if our own name is identical to their contractually owned version of it. When we know the difference, we can act accordingly - the quest will arise from getting them - the rest of us, who are us, acting as our government administrators, to comprehend the difference.

We do not need to fight to let them retain what they have legally bought and paid for, and we certainly do not need or want to fight to take it away from them. In truth, we do not want anything at all to do with "their" name, which never was ours anyway, all we really want, is to stop our mistaken behavior, which has been that we have until now, acted "as if" we were that name, when in fact we were NOT "THAT" name, neither are we "OUR" name, we are simply us. You, are you, and you are an individual human being *(man or woman)* called by your natural name given to you by your natural parents, and by coincidence, your parents also sold a completely separate duplicate "name" - something they simply made up and committed to a piece of paper, to the state.

Thus that duplicate name only exists as a piece of paper, and in that paper form only, it happens to be identical to the natural name you use. The "paper" name that your parents sold to our government on behalf of the Bar via the banks, has no power, no authority, no nothing, except the legal right to be beneficiary to everything any living individual may choose to do, or to offer to do, in its name or for its name.

You, as you, AND your natural name, which is yours, are all that is of value. They can have the "empty" paper name; that name that is associated with and becomes an empty fiction paper "person", when a living individual acts as if he or she were it, and they can do whatever they wish with it, because without you pretending to be it, it has no value at all. The alleged "person" only comes into existence and has potential value, so long as you are behaving "as if" you, a real live human being, were the "name", thus unwittingly conferring your natural capacity to it – thus, "it" plus "you" <u>operating</u> "it" = a "person", and they own "it", therefore they own whatever is done by you "as" it.

This reality applies to all corporate entities – simply read the rules of incorporation in any province or state to confirm that a registered company, is deemed in law to be a "legal person, enjoying all of the rights and benefits of that legal person", by virtue of the name being <u>operated</u> by a living individual *(director, or officer, etc.)*, otherwise it is simply a dormant "registered name", or "shelf" company.

They do not own you, nor do they own your natural name, nor do they own your birthright or your inheritance, or your productivity, until YOU give it to them! They only own "it" the name - and "it", truly owns nothing other than what YOU "give" it. What your parents gave to you - your natural name, is yours and always will be - no-one can un-give something, but you can dishonor their gift, by pretending to be the secondary "it" that your parents unwittingly created and sold for a price.

Here may be a good place to take a break and read our companion article, entitled: <u>Supremacy of God & Rule of Law</u> found on our "Library" page: <u>http://www.naturalgod.com/ncoy-library-2.html</u>, of: <u>www.naturalgod.com</u>

We have been duped by ourselves into being governed by a bunch of ourselves – and we are a bunch of idiots, because while we were pretending to govern ourselves, we were first pretending to be a bunch of artificial names that were not even owned by ourselves. Then, much worse than that, we allowed "Law" to step in and be our *de facto*, if not actual Ruler – we live under the rule of Law, regardless of what we call our system of alleged democratic self-governance.

First we must comprehend the significance that the government is "our" government – <u>IT IS US</u> – THERE AIN'T NO ONE HERE BUT US PEOPLE! Unfortunately, almost none of us, including most of us that happen to be our government administrators, are aware of what the problem is, or that a mistake of this magnitude has been made, and certainly none, or at least very few of us, including our government, even know that we are under the rule of LAW.

So who is entitled to remedy? Well, who can establish a valid claim. This eliminates our parents, for they benefited much from the transaction they entered into, almost to a degree that could arguably be deemed unjustly so. So have we, the children been defrauded, because we have been tricked into behaving as if we were a name that our parents invented and sold to someone else?

Perhaps, but we must still prove a claim. Theoretically, each of us is a joint beneficiary of all things collectively owned by "us" in the form of our government, so if we are claiming against our government, we are essentially claiming an administrative error, inasmuch as we are our government, therefore any alleged lack or deprivation of individual entitlement, could only be an administrative oversight, not theft or conversion, since "we" being all of the collective individuals that are our government, still own what we as the collective may have allegedly converted, or what we as individuals may not yet have collected, but are entitled to.

Its not so much that the government must know who is entitled, because the government IS US, it is US that must first know we are entitled, then we, as our government will obviously also know, and then everyone of us, including our government, will be ready to work toward fixing the main big mistake, which was not a conversion of our inheritance, or a forfeiture of our entitlements, but rather an usurpation of our authority and natural right to self-determination.

We, via ourselves operating as our government, have unwittingly allowed the elite members of the Bar Association to place us all under the rule of THEIR Law! We have by our own actions, left ourselves with no right of selfdetermination because of this most misunderstand reality.

The province did not obtain <u>you</u> via the exchange they made with your parents, it only obtained the duplicate artificial name from them – a simple piece of paper, that like any other corporate entity, includes its own name and all things ever to be done in that name. "You" are still free, so long as you do not pretend to be associated with that corporate name that is their name - that name which is not your name, nor can it ever be.

It was never yours. It was first your parents' private property, then they by lawful right, granted it unto the province in right of her majesty. Thus you actually have no legal right or claim to it, and by acting - which is a legal form of "pretense", as if you have had such a claim, you have first defrauded yourself, and then all those around you.

We have heard it said that an infant cannot identify itself as a 'name', yet the state, acting as *(pretending)* to be "Child Welfare", will walk into a hospital and take an infant that hasn't even been 'given' a name by the parents yet. How does that happen?

Child Welfare does not operate under the rule of government, it operates like all of us and like the whole of our government does, under the rule of Law!

Therefore, it is US, you, I, and all of our friends and all of our government administrators that must join forces and work together to get out from under the <u>rule of LAW</u>. We must exercise our most fundamental natural right – that of self determination.

So long as this artificial corporation called Law has us divided, Law will continue to conquer. They – the Bar Association, have "us", as in our government, fighting against "us", as in most of the people, all of the time, so none of us, has ever stopped long enough to realize two extremely important factors: first we are our government and our government is us, and second, Law is our common enemy – the enemy of both us and our government *(which is us)*. Law is the enemy of both "us" and of our "Government", because we are all one and the same as far as Law is concerned.

We have forgotten the law of our Father, and we have lost the ability to live under that most natural of Law; His natural Law, because we have elected to allow the Bar to create an artificial form of law, which we have adopted to be our Ruler *(under their private administration of the Bar)*.

So we must stop pretending to be their name, stop complaining about what "they" are doing wrong, stop denying that our problems begin within and are of our own making, and start acting as real men and woman again, – and start enjoying our freedom. This Is not something that can be done easily within the self-designed limitations of "Law's" current legal system, however it is important that you know that this can be done, and will be done by exercising our natural right to self-determination – the right to choose not just our form of governance, but also our over-riding form of "ruler ship", or "law" that governs our governance.

We must determine to exchange democratic governance under the rule of the Bar's artificial Law, for democratic governance under the rule of our Father's natural Law – where no men have any special or privilege authority. Check your history, and you will learn that this was the main thrust behind what the founding fathers of the US originally intended – they actually banned and barred all lawyers from being part of the original new colonies, but they did not enforce that edict, and subsequently, the Bar again took over and things have ended up as bad for us now, if not worse than what they had tried to escape from then.

You have always owned your birthright and your inheritance, and in this you remain no less complete than the duck. The difference is simple. The duck has always operated as a natural being – the duck. You have operated "as if" you were the un-natural *(or artificial)* "name", *(thereby creating a person)* thus granting all of the results of your operational efforts to the legally registered

owner of that artificial name. ("it" - the name, operated by you, results in the creation of a legal person.)

If you read *(or re-read if you have already)* the legal brief prepared by the Ontario Landowners' Association *(OLA)* on Crown Grants *(included following this as separate appendix)*, it may become clear that "our" governments are not empowered with authority to legislate laws that are superior to natural law as administered by the Crown and its agents, such as many municipal laws that they now pretend will supersede the Crown Grant.

Likewise our governments have no authority to legislate, much less enforce laws that usurp our natural rights as such rights are guaranteed to be protected under those same Crown Grants, and under our various constitutions, bills of rights, etc.. The government "acts" like they have authority, and we imply our consent, because we also "act" like they have that authority – since we have effectively given up our right of self determination.

Example:

**ABC Industries Ltd.** = Legally Registered \*Name = Inactive corporate entity;

**ABC** Industries Ltd. + Chief <u>Operating</u> Officer (Director) = Active "Corporation"; Active "Operation" of registered name event confirmed in "<u>application</u>" to record Articles of Incorporation "<u>to conduct business activity</u>" and subsequent Annual Reports to continue "<u>to conduct business activity</u>", by "CEO/director/<u>operator</u>";

**ABC Industries Ltd.** Shareholder(s) = any other legally registered \*name(s);

**JOHN HENRY SMITH** = Legally Registered \*Name = Inactive corporate entity;

**JOHN HENRY SMITH** + John Henry Smith (Operator) = Active "Corporation";

Active "Operation" of registered \*name event confirmed by "<u>application</u>" for S.I.N., being request for permission to <u>Operate</u> in and as the legally registered \*name, and subsequent "application(s)" for various forms of "identification," as being requests for permission to be <u>recognized</u> and <u>identified</u> "as" the registered \*name;

JOHN HENRY SMITH Shareholder(s) = Bar Association/Vatican c/o some province in right of Her Majesty;

Shareholder(s) of all legally registered \*name(s) = Bar Association/Vatican c/o some province in right of Her Majesty; \*All legally registered \*names = Collateral Security Pledged by all UN Member nations to World Bank/IMF and other global banking institutions operating as fronts for and on behalf of Bar Association/Vatican.

Thus the Bar Association and or its incestuous Vatican affiliates, own 100% of all things done in all legally registered \*names, whether "corporate" or so-called "personal".

Unfortunately, everyone has been acting improperly for so long, that many are now convinced that this improper behavior is right, so the effort to correct this may well be more difficult than merely recognizing the primary problem – and must include the secondary associated problem which is that of an ignorant society, which ignorance extends even into and throughout our legislative and legal systems.

The recognition must first be inherent within we, the people – we being all of us including all of us that are our government and court administrators, because then all of us can dictate to our courts what we want them to do, regardless of what "Law" wants, because we have the right to terminate the rule of Law, but this will only happen if we collectively comprehend that this is required. We must accept the "Law" is also a legally registered *(corporate)* \*name, and that name is controlled by the Bar, and the sole purpose of that name, is to provide a veil to hide the Bar's exclusive "rule" over all UN Member states and their respective citizens *(or at least all nations proclaiming to live under, or to respect the "rule of Law")*.

The natural right to self determination is not at risk of being lost, rather we are at risk of not being able to recover our right to enjoy it as our forefathers once did, simply because we have been tricked into not realizing we have chosen not to exercise our right – we see, but fail to perceive what is going on right before our eyes.

There are some of us people, that are currently involved in organizing an effort to share this information for educational and discussion purposes. Your comments, questions and concerns are welcomed in this regard.

This information and any information or documents referred to herein, does not constitute legal advice, nor shall it be so construed. Recipients of this information are strongly advised not to rely on the validity of any of this information nor to attempt to utilize any of this information or references made or implied herein as part of any actual or contemplated legal proceeding. If you have legal issues or concerns you should consult with a qualified professional for advice.