Fiction Statute Law vs. Living Natural Law

1. THE ANSWERED QUESTION:

What labels do Legal Statute use when referring to a natural living individual that has been liberated from his/her legal title?

ANSWERS: “Deceased”; an “Undischarged Bankrupt”; a “non-documented alien”; or . . .? (*Note: all are foreign to, or outside of Statute jurisdiction*)

2. THE NECESSARY QUESTION:

What label does Legal Statute use when referring to the beneficial rights to the use of property that have been liberated from the legal title of that property? ANSWER: Property in Trust; Trust Property; Trust Assets; etc . . .?

3. DISTINGUISHING “PROPERTY IN TRUST”, FROM AN “‘ESTATE’ IN TRUST”:

i) An identified party labelled a ‘Trustee’, exists in Statute, that independently holds and controls the legal title to property, under the direction of a party labelled a ‘Beneficiary’, that also exists in Statute, that possesses the beneficial rights to the use of that property.

ii) Note like that in the above example, a party first titled a “lawyer” that is holding funds in trust (*property in trust*), is legally and actually and concurrently acting in the capacity of a second Statute title, as a “Trustee”, for a party first titled as a “client”, who under such circumstances, is legally and actually and concurrently also acting in the capacity of a second Statute title, as a “Beneficiary”. (*Lawyers’ trust account agreements [required] are essentially a document affirming their consent to volunteer to act as a Trustee for limited purpose, on behalf of a client who will act as a beneficiary*.)

iii) In any event, a Trustee MUST volunteer to accept the legal and financial responsibility of acting as a Trustee, in every instance, not limited to just holding funds in trust for a client, or administering the estate of a bankrupt (*an “estate” being a Statutory euphemism or label, for a living natural individual together with all of his/her possessions*).

iv) There exists a fundamental commonality between acting as a Trustee for property, and acting as a Trustee for an estate, or living natural individual. In each instance, the legal fiction-title together with any and all associated legal and financial Statute obligations, is legally acknowledged by Statute, as having been separated from its natural reality.

v) It is therefore conclusively surmised that Statute contains various multiple means to enable the separation of legal fiction-title together with any and all associated legal and financial Statute obligations, from its respective natural reality.

3. OBSERVATIONS:

Statute recognizes life. Statute recognizes that living conscious will, exists; that it extends from life, and therefore, living conscious will, cannot be, and is not denied by Statute, because living conscious will, is the essence of life, and of living natural law, which is altogether, undeniable.

A written record of what has been expressed by a living conscious will, is

irrefutable evidence of the existence of that living conscious will, which re-affirms the existence of life, and of living natural law, all of which is beyond any capacity of Statute to deny, and beyond any challenge that Statute may proffer.

An inter-vivos (*made while living*) Bare-Trust Deed is a record of an event, not a cause of one. Such a living Bare-Trust Deed, is in fact, no more, and no less than a written record of a spoken Declaration made by a living conscious will, that was made and recorded by the natural right and ability endowed upon that living conscious will - the same will that spoke and recorded it, by virtue of the living natural law that defines and enables that living conscious will, to be.

A "Deed" is akin to a record of an occurrence, e.g., "he did the 'Deed'." In common law, a Deed is any legal instrument in writing, which has recorded a Declaration that passes, affirms or confirms an interest, right, or use of property, and that is signed, attested, delivered, and in some jurisdictions, sealed, then becoming the permanent written record of that stated Declaration, which by natural deduction of necessity, must have been Declared by a living individual. It is most commonly associated with transferring legal title to property. The Deed has a greater presumption of validity and is less rebuttable than any legal instrument of Statute that may be signed by the party making the Deed.

All Statute resides outside of, and under the authority of the supreme living natural law. All Statute resides outside of living natural expression made by a living conscious will. Statute recognizes without exception, that living natural law is superior to, and impenetrable by Statute.

Statutes that contain wording that evidences Statute's awareness of, or recognition of the existence of the supreme living natural laws, are many and prodigious, and they are at least to this limited extent, existing as honest legal discourse, and serve as Statute's admission of its own, imperfect inferiority.

A trustee by legal definition, is, and can only be a Statute, or fiction construct. A living natural conscience existing within a living natural individual, may trust another, and may be trusted and considered trustworthy, by his/her peers, and in his/her purest form of expression, an inter-vivos Bare-Trust Deed would record language that affirms the maker of the Declaration as being of the good faith and ability, to trust in another known living natural individual, to conduct themselves in good conscience according to what was being asked of him/her. But no such fiction-titled trustee lives by, or can be created by the living natural law, or by the conscious will of a living natural individual.

Therefore, the modern fiction-Statute construct titled "trustee", has not been made by invocation of a living Bare-Trust Deed, indeed it cannot be made by a living natural individual, it has merely been recorded into the living Bare-Trust Deed, as a tool used by the living natural individual, who comprehends that Statute exists, and that Statute will indeed recognize, because they cannot deny their own fiction-construct - the fiction-titled trustee.

The entire process is akin to bankruptcy. Without invoking a volunteer fiction-trustee

(*replacement*), Statute will not permit the living natural individual, to be separated from their (*Statute's*) legal-fiction title. Likewise, without invoking a volunteer fiction-trustee (*replacement*), Statute will not permit the living natural and beneficial rights of use associated with real property, to be separated from their (*Statute's*) legal-fiction title to that real property.

Because Statute must recognize their own fiction-constructs - the (*replacement*) trustee, Statute likewise on presentment of the "Deed", cannot deny the living record that invoked it, hence Statute must honour their (*replacement*) trustee, and by extension, Statute must honour the conditions invoked upon their (*replacement*) trustee that were made by and recorded by the living natural individual that invoked them (*"invoke" – in law, means 'to call upon a law or a deity, or upon someone or something, for support or help'*).

Statute is without option, save to deny itself, without recognition of its own fiction creation, when presented with that creation that is described in a written record of what was spoken and Declared by a living conscious will; a will who's existence and superior status is impossible to deny. This applies equally to a trustee manufactured by means of the wording of the law society, in an agreement for sale, or in a Bare-Trust Deed, or if the created trustee is known or unknown, identified or unidentified, present or absent – once invoked, and however invoked, the fiction-trustee is impossible for Statute to deny. In this respect, a trustee is the literal opposite, of a trusted or trustworthy, living natural individual – a conscientious friend.

Thus CRA's Statutes will, by all Statute means, be equally applied to the titled manufactured fiction-trustees, and to their (*Statute's*) title of the property entrusted to it, if Statute finds the trustee deficient for any Statute cause related to their title, because those fiction constructs belong to their Statutes, just exactly the same as do our fiction-person titles belong to our bankruptcy trustees – thank goodness we have by consent of that same Statute, been divorced from our fiction-person titles by our volunteer fiction-bankruptcy trustees.

This is an expression, of why Statute allows the living Bare-Trust Deed to work. They recognize it, as it obviously and undeniably must be - a written record of a spoken Declaration made by a superior (*to Statute*) living conscious will, all of which, by all accounts, must supersede all Statute, even by Statute's own "legal" and prodigious admissions, because simply stated, life and all things living are impossible to deny, or to surpass, even for Statute.

Therefore it seems it might be prudent to consider that any form of agreement, or breach of an agreement, of claim for breach of an agreement, or breach of contract, etc., is impossible to construct outside of Statute, hence all fall squarely into Statute jurisdiction.

Similarly, a written record of a spoken Declaration; a Bare-Trust Deed, having been made between two living parties, resides purely in Courts or jurisdictions of Equity. One party to the Deed, its maker, for example, being a living conscious will statutorily labelled as an undischarged bankrupt, and another party labelled with the fiction construct

title of a “trustee”, does by its very nature, enable the Deed-maker – the undischarged bankrupt, for example, to behave entirely as the natural beneficial party of all that he/she is, while the Statute-created fiction-trustee must voluntarily accept all Statutory legal and financial liability associated with the bankrupt’s fiction-titled person.

It certainly appears, that Declaring your trust, faith in, and confidence of another to act honourably and with good conscience, may be quite different than recording your contractual agreement (*or disagreement - claim*) reached with another, regardless of either of those parties’ Statute status/labels.

Statute will show no favour, particularly regards things not expressed in accordance to the arbitrary dictates of Statute, regardless of how much it might be desired. To avoid Statute prosecution, or rebuttal, seek to invoke your Deeds or claims for cause, by way of natural law. All claims for cause can be made by Deed; by means of a living individual’s Declaration.

It is futile to make any claim for cause in Statue jurisdiction for any type or style of cause, even if, as so many have tried (*unsuccessfully*) to do, by pronouncing your rights to be heard “in Equity”, or denying Statute has any jurisdiction over you, because you are alive, or you have renounced your fiction-person, etc., since merely by doing such things, you have already volunteered to be theirs, and you have dishonoured your own natural rights.

The fiction person, name-titled like your natural name, is not yours to give away, or to claim rights to heritage or patrimony from. It is all theirs. They purchased it under contract of purchase and sale for good and valuable consideration paid to your parents. That is why you have been granted permission to carry around a certified copy of the receipt that evidences that transaction – loosely, “your” birth certificate. More accurately, just a copy of the receipt that only your parents were entitled to, because they were the fiction-titles that sold your given name in exchange for good and valuable consideration called, “family benefits”.

Thus it is elementary that you have no possible claim, neither in Statute or Equity jurisdiction, related to such a third party contract; one that you were not even an indirect party to. Even your parents have waived all possibility of any claim of trickery, or uninformed consent, because in fact, your parents have voluntarily accepted the payment of family benefits offered by those tricksters over so many years as to have made any claim they might have had, absolutely unattainable.